



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,235	02/12/2004	Hitoshi Narusawa	1533.1001CC	2631
21171 7590 06/13/2007 STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER ENSEY, BRIAN	
			ART UNIT 2615	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/13/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/776,235		NARUSAWA, HITOSHI	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Brian Ensey		2615	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 5-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/662,336.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/12/04</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,674,868. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other by only minor word variations. The preamble has been changed to define an amplification apparatus in

the pending application while the aforementioned patent is related to a hearing aid for amplifying acoustic signal. The hearing aid can be considered an amplifying apparatus.

Specification

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

Claims 8 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: The preamble is grammatically incorrect. The examiner recommends deleting "an" from the preamble of the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Warning

Applicant is advised that should claim 6 be found allowable, claim 12 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2615

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the digital audio signal" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the digital audio signal" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5 and 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kandel.
U.S. Patent No. 6,353,671.

Regarding claim 5, Kandel discloses an amplification apparatus a hearing aid), comprising: a detecting circuit for detecting in real time a first frequency band at the highest level of input acoustic signals that vary over time (112, 113); and an amplifier for amplifying an input acoustic signals that vary over time and generating an output acoustic signals (122), and wherein the amplifier has a frequency characteristic including a first

Art Unit: 2615

gain region which has a constant gain for frequencies equal to or lower than the first frequency band, and a second gain region whose gain increases higher than the first gain region, according to frequency, for frequencies higher than the detected first frequency band; and an increase point between the first and second gain regions changes according to the detected first frequency band (See Fig. 4 , col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 18 and col. 8, lines 6-13).

Regarding claim 8, Kandel discloses an amplification apparatus (a hearing aid) for amplifying acoustic signals comprising: a circuit for detecting in real time a frequency band at the highest level of the acoustic signals that vary over time, and for generating a signal to raise a gain for signals of a higher frequency range than the detected frequency band at the highest level (112,113); and a first amplifier (114), in which the signal is inputted, for amplifying the acoustic signals by increasing the gain for signals of the higher frequency range than the frequency band, wherein frequency characteristics of the first amplifier are controlled depending on the detected frequency band (See Fig. 4 and col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 5 and col. 8, lines 6-13). Kandel does not explicitly disclose a controller to provide the circuit functions. However, it is well-known in the art that a controller may describe a single device or a group of devices performing a desired function.

Claims 6, 7, 9 and 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kandel in view of Melanson et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,104,822.

Regarding claim 9, Kandel discloses an amplification apparatus (a hearing aid) for amplifying acoustic signals, comprising: a circuit for detecting in real time a frequency band at the highest level of audio signals through frequency analysis of the audio signals that vary over time, and then for generating a control signal for raising a gain for signals of a higher frequency

range than the detected frequency band at the highest level, and then for amplifying the audio signals by increasing the gain for signals of the higher frequency range than the detected frequency band, according to the control signal (See Fig. 4 and col. 5, line 60 to col. 6, line 5 and col. 8, lines 6-13). Kandel does not explicitly disclose operating in a digital format. However, the use of digital circuitry to perform similar analog functions for space reduction and faster data processing is well known in the art and Melanson teaches an analog-to-digital processor converting an analog audio signal into a digital audio signal; a digital signal processor for processing one of a group of individual frequency band signals and gain coefficients to generate one of a plurality of processed frequency bands; and a digital-to-analog converter coupled to the digital signal processor and converting the digital audio signal into an analog audio signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the digital circuitry of Melanson to perform the same functions as the analog circuits of Kandel for space reduction and improved processing times.

Regarding claims 6 and 12, Kandel discloses an amplification apparatus (a hearing aid), comprising: a circuit for detecting a first formant frequency in an audio signal and amplifying components of the audio signal having a frequency higher than the first formant responsive to the detection (See Fig. 4 and col. 5, line 60 to col. 6, line 5 and col. 8, lines 6-13). Kandel does not explicitly disclose operating in a digital format. However, the use of digital circuitry to perform similar analog functions for space reduction and faster data processing is well known in the art and Melanson teaches an analog-to-digital processor converting an analog audio signal into a digital audio signal; a digital signal processor for processing one of a group of individual frequency band signals and gain coefficients to generate one of a plurality of processed

frequency bands; and a digital-to-analog converter coupled to the digital signal processor and converting the digital audio signal into an analog audio signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the digital circuitry of Melanson to perform the same functions as the analog circuits of Kandel for space reduction and improved processing times.

Regarding claims 7 and 13, Kandel discloses an amplification apparatus (a hearing aid) processing method, comprising: detecting a first formant frequency in an audio signal; and amplifying components of the audio signal having a frequency higher than the first formant responsive to the detecting (See Fig. 4 and col. 5, line 60 to col. 6, line 5 and col. 8, lines 6-13). Kandel does not explicitly disclose operating in a digital format. However, the use of digital circuitry to perform similar analog functions for space reduction and faster data processing is well known in the art and Melanson teaches an analog-to-digital processor converting an analog audio signal into a digital audio signal; a digital signal processor for processing one of a group of individual frequency band signals and gain coefficients to generate one of a plurality of processed frequency bands; and a digital-to-analog converter coupled to the digital signal processor and converting the digital audio signal into an analog audio signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the digital circuitry of Melanson to perform the same functions as the analog circuits of Kandel for space reduction and improved processing times.

Regarding claim 14, Kandel discloses an amplification apparatus (a hearing aid) processing method, comprising: detecting a first formant frequency in an audio signal; and amplifying a second formant of the audio signal having a frequency higher than the first formant

Art Unit: 2615

responsive to the detecting (See Fig. 4 and col. 5, line 60 to col. 6, line 5 and col. 8, lines 6-13). Kandel does not explicitly disclose operating in a digital format. However, the use of digital circuitry to perform similar analog functions for space reduction and faster data processing is well known in the art and Melanson teaches an analog-to-digital processor converting an analog audio signal into a digital audio signal; a digital signal processor for processing one of a group of individual frequency band signals and gain coefficients to generate one of a plurality of processed frequency bands; and a digital-to-analog converter coupled to the digital signal processor and converting the digital audio signal into an analog audio signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the digital circuitry of Melanson to perform the same functions as the analog circuits of Kandel for space reduction and improved processing times.

Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kandel in view of Hori et al. U.S. Patent No. 4,739,511.

Regarding claim 10, Kandel discloses an amplification apparatus (a hearing aid) for amplifying an input acoustic signals that vary over time, comprising: a control circuit for detecting a first frequency band at the highest level of the input acoustic signals and for generating a control signal according to the detected first frequency band; and an amplifier for amplifying the input acoustic signals so as to generate an output acoustic signals (See Fig. 4 and col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 5 and col. 8, lines 6-13). Kandel does not explicitly disclose the amplifier has a frequency characteristic including a first gain region which has a constant gain for frequencies equal to or lower than the detected first frequency band, and a second gain region whose gain increases higher than the first gain region, according to frequency, for frequencies

higher than the detected first frequency band; and in response to the control signal, an increase point between the first and second gain regions changes according to the detected first frequency band. However, Hori teaches a hearing aid in which characteristics in the low frequency zones are suppressed and the high frequency zone is emphasized by utilizing an amplifier including a first gain region which has a constant gain for frequencies equal to or lower than the detected first frequency band, and a second gain region whose gain increases higher than the first gain region, according to frequency, for frequencies higher than the detected first frequency band; and in response to the control signal, an increase point between the first and second gain regions changes according to the detected first frequency band (See Figs. 4-6 and col. 3, lines 37-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the variable gain control of Hori in the detection device of Kandel to clearly balance the level of the output frequencies.

Regarding claim 11, Kandel discloses a hearing aid, comprising: a detecting circuit for detecting in real time a first frequency band at the highest level of input acoustic signals that vary over time; and an amplifier for amplifying an input acoustic signals that vary over time and generating an output acoustic signals signals (See Fig. 4 and col. 5, line 60 through col. 6, line 5 and col. 8, lines 6-13). Kandel does not explicitly disclose the amplifier has a frequency characteristic including a first gain region which has a constant gain for frequencies equal to or lower than the detected first frequency band, and a second gain region whose gain increases higher than the first gain region, according to frequency, for frequencies higher than the detected first frequency band; and in response to the control signal, an increase point between the first and second gain regions changes according to the detected first frequency band. However, Hori

Art Unit: 2615

teaches a hearing aid in which characteristics in the low frequency zones are suppressed and the high frequency zone is emphasized by utilizing an amplifier including a first gain region which has a constant gain for frequencies equal to or lower than the detected first frequency band, and a second gain region whose gain increases higher than the first gain region, according to frequency, for frequencies higher than the detected first frequency band; and in response to the control signal, an increase point between the first and second gain regions changes according to the detected first frequency band (See Figs. 4-6 and col. 3, lines 37-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the variable gain control of Hori in the detection device of Kandel to clearly balance the level of the output frequencies.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Ensey whose telephone number is 571-272-7496. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 571-272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Art Unit: 2615

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, for formal communications intended for entry and for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT".
Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Arlington, VA 22314

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



BRIAN ENSEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

6/6/07